

Original Feedback Date	Responder Type	Address	Address City	Post Code	Feedback Categories
04/08/2026 14:08:35 +01:00	Resident	Warham Road	London	N4 1AU	Parking
04/06/2026 23:45:18 +01:00	Resident	Woodside Road	London N22 5HS	N22 5HS	Parking
04/05/2026 17:31:45 +01:00	Resident	Palace Road	London	N8 8QP	Parking
04/04/2026 16:20:56 +01:00	Visitor	Woodside Avenue	Muswell Hill	N103JA	Parking
04/04/2026 11:55:38 +01:00	Resident	Manor Road	London	N17 0JE	Parking
03/31/2026 10:53:45 +01:00	Resident	Bennetts Close	Tottenham	N17 0HD	Parking
03/31/2026 08:11:53 +01:00	Resident	Muswell Hill Place	London	N10 3Rr	Parking
03/29/2026 19:16:08 +01:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LA	Parking
03/29/2026 19:14:57 +01:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LA	Parking
03/28/2026 08:26:01 +00:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LA	Parking
03/26/2026 10:22:58 +00:00	Resident	Boreham Road	London	N22 6SL	Parking
03/26/2026 08:38:36 +00:00	Resident	Montague Road	London	N89pj	Parking
03/26/2026 08:26:53 +00:00	Resident	Montague Road	London	N89PJ	Parking
03/25/2026 17:59:41 +00:00	Resident	Collingwood Ave	London	N10 3EE	Parking

03/25/2026 16:56:13 +00:00	Resident	Park Avenue North	London	N87RU	Parking
03/24/2026 19:08:08 +00:00	Resident	Willingdon Road	London	N22 6SD	Parking
03/24/2026 14:11:16 +00:00	Resident	Brantwood Road	London	N17 0EU	Parking
03/24/2026 11:34:42 +00:00	Resident	Argyle Road	London	N17 0BE	Parking
03/24/2026 08:38:22 +00:00	Resident	Willingdon Road	London	N22 6SD	Parking
03/23/2026 15:00:02 +00:00	Resident	Eastern road	London	N2 9LA	Parking
03/23/2026 11:41:23 +00:00	Resident	Dowding House	London	N6 4HD	Parking, Access
03/23/2026 10:29:32 +00:00	Resident	Brantwood Road	London	N17 0EU	Parking
03/22/2026 22:30:23 +00:00	Resident	Warham Road	London	N41AS	Parking
03/22/2026 10:39:37 +00:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LA	Parking

03/21/2026 13:26:58 +00:00	Resident	Windermere Road	London	N10 2RG	Parking
03/20/2026 16:43:00 +00:00	Resident	Park Avenue North	London	N8 7RU	Parking, Environmental
03/20/2026 09:55:42 +00:00	Resident	Muswell Hill Pl	Haringey, London	N10 3RR	Other
03/20/2026 07:41:14 +00:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LA	Parking
03/19/2026 16:30:11 +00:00	Resident	Montague Road	Hornsey	N8 9PJ	Parking
03/19/2026 15:35:18 +00:00	Resident	Mayfield Road (commenting on Crouch Hall Road)	Crouch end	N89In	Parking, Access
03/19/2026 15:24:55 +00:00	Resident	Mayfield Road	Crouch end	N8 9LN	Parking, Access
03/19/2026 08:35:12 +00:00	Resident	Effingham Road	London	N80AD	Parking
03/19/2026 08:18:05 +00:00	Resident	Pellatt Grove	Wood Green	N225np	Parking
03/18/2026 20:26:37 +00:00	Resident	Crouch Hall Road	London	N88HF	Parking
03/18/2026 19:28:32 +00:00	Resident	Barnard Hill	London	N10 2HB	Parking
03/18/2026 19:28:21 +00:00	Resident	Barnard Hill	London	N10 2HB	Parking

03/18/2026 14:26:26 +00:00	Resident	Mayfield Road	London	N8 9LN	Parking
03/18/2026 12:59:20 +00:00	Resident	Park Avenue North	London	N8 7RU	Parking
03/18/2026 12:17:14 +00:00	Resident	Thorold Road	London	N22 8YE	Parking
03/18/2026 12:10:31 +00:00	Resident	Palace Road	London	N8 8QP	Parking
03/18/2026 10:59:25 +00:00	Resident	Rusper Road	London	N22 6ra	Parking
03/18/2026 10:25:10 +00:00	Resident	Mayfield Road	Crouch End	N8 9LN	Parking
03/18/2026 10:04:36 +00:00	Resident	Eastern Road	London	N2 9LB	Parking
03/18/2026 09:32:05 +00:00	Resident	Lothair Road South	Haringey, London	N4 1EN	Parking
03/18/2026 08:10:50 +00:00	Resident	Windermere Road	London	N10 2RD	Parking
03/18/2026 07:49:45 +00:00	Resident	Penrith Road	London	N15 5QU	Parking
03/17/2026 14:48:42 +00:00	Resident	Montague Road	Hornsey	N89pj	Parking
03/17/2026 21:25:35 +00:00	Resident	Sperling Road	London	N17 6UQ	Parking
03/17/2026 18:38:57 +00:00	Resident	Curzon Road	London	N10 2RA	Parking
03/17/2026 18:00:13 +00:00	Resident	Napier Road	London	N17 6yq	Parking

03/17/2026 17:16:55 +00:00	Resident	Effingham Road	London	N8 0ad	Parking
03/17/2026 15:48:53 +00:00	Resident	Barnard Hill	London	N102hb	Parking
03/17/2026 15:42:23 +00:00	Resident	Broadwater Road	London	N17 6EP	Parking
03/17/2026 15:21:38 +00:00	Resident	Mannock Road	London	N22 6AB	Parking
03/17/2026 14:41:59 +00:00	Resident	Woodside Road	London	N22 5HS	Parking
03/17/2026 13:37:07 +00:00	Resident	Lothair Road South	London	N4 1EL	Parking
03/17/2026 12:50:19 +00:00	Resident	Northumberland Park	Tottenham	N170SU	Parking
03/17/2026 12:44:22 +00:00	Resident	Seymour Avenue	London	N17 9EB	Parking

03/17/2026 11:59:26 +00:00	Resident	Napier Road	Tottenham	N17 6ye	Parking
03/17/2026 11:52:24 +00:00	Resident	Park Avenue North	London	N8 7RU	Parking
03/17/2026 10:17:25 +00:00	Resident	Dowding House	Highgate	N6 4Hd	Parking
03/17/2026 09:58:39 +00:00	Resident	Muswell Hill Place	London	N10 3RR	Parking
03/17/2026 09:53:26 +00:00	Resident	Grand Avenue	London	N10 3BS	Parking
03/17/2026 09:07:13 +00:00	Resident	Genesis House – Queen Street	London	N17 8HW	Parking
17/03/26	Resident	Thorold Road	London	N22 8YE	Parking
18/03/26	Resident	Collingwood Avenue	London	N10 3EE	Parking
20/04/26	Resident	Windermere Road	London	N10 2RG	Parking
20/04/26	Resident	Montague Road	London		Parking
17/03/2-26	Resident	Umfreville Road	London	N4 1RY	Parking
17/03/26	Resident	Effingham Road	London		Parking

18/03/26	Resident	Collingwood Avenue	London		Parking
18/03/26	Resident	Eastern Road	London		Parking
23/03/26	Resident	Mayfield Road	London		Parking

[illegible]

Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral

Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Object
Object
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral

Neutral
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral

Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Object
Neutral

Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Object
Object
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral
Neutral

Neutral
Neutral
Neutral

FeedbackText
I walk past these bays every day and there is definitely nobody anymore parked in them outside from those homes. I think it's 108 to 113 Warham Road. They should be removed or a bike stand can go there instead. The picture below was taken before 5 am almost the same every morning on
Proposed Disabled Bay removal DPBR 2025-02
Item No 7 - The bay outside No 126 is I believe legitimate and should not be removed. However I do not believe the bay outside No 120 is necessary any more due to the demise of the resident with the
I consent to the removal of the disabled bay in front of my house - 62 Palace Road, [REDACTED]
I object for the disabled parking to be removed, it helps disabled visitors for Bennett's close and helps residents in Bennett's close also. There is no other disability parking bay, in which there should be one at least in a communal area/ it would be diverse if you get rid of it!
The dedicated bay with permit number in front of my house was for my [REDACTED] who passed away. It is listed as item 4 at 110 Manor Road [REDACTED].
I do not want the bay removed because [REDACTED] who lives at X Manor Road - am a valid blue badge holder. I hold a blue badge on account of my mobility difficulties and I need to be able to use the bay to access my home. As such, I need the bay to be transformed into a normal disabled parking bay and not one with my late [REDACTED]'s permit number. Therefore, I request that the
The badge holder for this parking bay, no longer resides at 3, Bennetts Close, [REDACTED]. They moved out several years ago now and so no longer need it. It would be beneficial to the residents here to have the parking bay reinstated as a normal parking bay.
I support the removal of the disable bay as it is no longer being used for its intended purpose.
I have no objection to the removal of this disabled bay
I do
Not object to the removal of this disabled bay
I firmly beleive you should remove the disabled parking space at the top of eastern road, N2 (DPBR 2025-02) marked U. This takes away 1.5 spaces of resident parking, which is in very short supply now that spaces have been reduced for the new bigger bin lorries to get round corners. The resident who was disabled no longer lives at the address, he's moved out so the space is also no longer needed by him. Please remove it and make all the space resident parking again. At the moment
I support the proposal to reduce parking strain in the areas. In addition, I believe the disabled parking bay at 15 Boreham Road is no longer required.
I support the proposal to remove the disabled parking bays in Montague Road, [REDACTED] I would suggest that the lines of the bay are repainted up to their original point where the cobblestone starts.
Would you also consider securing some space beyond the bottom of the road (next to school
I support the proposal to remove the disabled parking bays in Montague Road . It would also be beneficial to all residents to create a turning circle at the bottom of the road. Thank you
I support the removal of: 2026-T06 - DPB Removals 2025-02

I support the removal of the disabled bay 2026-T06. The individual who required it has moved permanently away from this street

We have no objection to removing the disabled parking space from outside 56 Willingdon Road, but object to replacing it with a parking space.

In line with Harringey's policy that walking and cycling should be the default choice of travel, the space should be replaced with infrastructure which either encourages active travel (ideally additional cycle storage, since nearby lockers are significantly oversubscribed) or discourages car use (for example, replacing the space with planters).

Can confirm that the resident who used to live at 49 Brantwood road has now moved out and the disabled bay is no longer required.

There is a proposal to remove a disabled bay in Argyle Road N17 and I strongly support this as the bay hasn't not been used since its initial installation. It is always empty and is unfair that no one can use it. I applaud the council for making the right decision.

I received a letter informing me the council is reviewing the Disabled Parking bay at 56 Willingdon Road (N22). [REDACTED], and have done for years. I've almost never seen this bay in use, it's certainly not used for a disabled residents car which is surely the purpose. I would support its removal as it would free up a needed space on the road.

It's unclear from the two options above what to choose, I am not objecting as I think the letter is relating to removing the bay, so I would support it.

I am not neutral at all, I support this proposal - although there doesn't seem to be the option to register this above.. I live [REDACTED]. This disabled parking bay has not been used for over a year and the permit holder was evicted months ago. It is currently a general disabled parking space but rarely used as it is not near any amenities. Removing this restriction would open up 2 parking

Good morning,

I have received a letter about proposed disabled bay removal for reports that the bays aren't used.

I can confirm I am still in need of the disabled bay DP166. I am disabled and mobility is extremely limited so the disabled bay is extremely useful for me and I do still use this bay everyday.

If you need anything more please feel free to contact.

Warm regards.

I am supportive of the removal of the disabled parking bay on 49 Brantwood Road, n170dt.

I very much support the removal of the disabled bays. [REDACTED], especially at the weekend, it is of huge frustration to me that there are often no parking spaces outside my own home and in some instances when I've had nowhere else to park with bags of heavy shopping and a bad back, or have parked in one by mistake in dark / wet conditions, I've also received parking tickets.

One time recently I even had my car taken to the car pound when I hadn't realised for 5 whole days (I'm at work all day in town during the week) that I'd parked in one by mistake. These disabled bays have cost me quite a large expense now, upward of £50 (and my appeals have always been rejected), which is galling when I rarely see them being used.

I support the removal of the disabled bay there is insufficient regular parking space in eastern road and this disabled bay is rarely used

The disabled bay in our street has not been used for some time following our neighbours's death. I'm not sure why you need to consult on its removal. It should automatically be removed on someone's death.
I agree with the Proposed Disabled Bay Removal at No. 9 Park Avenue North. This is a public road on which anyone and everyone can park at any time of the week, day or night. This makes it extremely difficult/sometimes impossible for residents to park in the road, let alone near their
Re item number 16, @ 79 Muswell Hill place.
This disabled bay was removed last year. The sign was removed outside 79 Muswell Hill place. Why had this been relisted and included. There is no sign currently present. I supported the removal of this bay. The resudebt who used it moved out. An alternative new bay was designated at the very
I don't feel this bay is required as a blue badge space - it is very rarely used by blue badge holders Following the recent alterations to the parking bays in Eastern Road (moving them away from the road junctions) parking in the road has been under considerable strain - we often have to park several streets away.
The removal of the Eastern Road DBP (which is a particularly long length as a marked out bay) and
Disable bay need to be kept on the road as I us it and if your considering to move it I would like a bay put [REDACTED]. 2026- T06
I am a blue badge holder and cannot see the value in removing the disabled bay (no 15 on your list) on Crouch Hall Road at the junction with the Broadway. This may be empty during a survey but is of great use to myself and any blue badge holder by providing parking so close to the main shopping amenity in Crouch End. Parking in that area is severely restricted and having a dedicated bay
Disabled parking I have been a blue badge holder since October last year. The space at no 81 mayfield road (your number 23) is extremely useful to me. I have mobility issues and can only walk short distances to my car aided by a stick and by my [REDACTED] and need to use it regularly. It is vital for getting to and from hospital appointments. I have long term vertigo issues and cancer of the spine. I can sometimes park outside our house at no 77 but certain times of day the street is very busy with school drop off often staying to use the park and when numerous activities are on at the community centre at any time during the week and weekend. If the space is removed it will be difficult to find parking at various times and get from the car to the house. Ideally if the bay could be moved to outside no 77 it would be better. The bay was originally located outside no 81 as my [REDACTED] was disabled but has since moved away and a space would be very valuable to us. It is not clear from the maps provided what the intention is of removing the residents bays from the
The disabled person's bay at 90 Effingham Road, which is listed in the letter received, is no longer required and not in use. I am in agreement for the disabled bay to be removed to that the bay may be used by non-blue badge holders and reduce the strain on parking in the street.
Could this spot just remain as disabled parking as my [REDACTED] who lives opposite is disabled. I am [REDACTED] and care for her during the week, taking her to appointments, bringing her shopping back etc and quite often I cannot stop anywhere safe to drop my [REDACTED] off. She does have a disabled badge so is entitled to park in a disabled bay. This bay was originally a disabled bay and was then assigned with a number to a [REDACTED]. Can it just be left as a disabled bay as this will help others who are also registered disabled in the area .
I support it's removal if it is not being used enough or if there are no objections from people with disabled parking needs.
No one uses it
This bay is unused

The resident for whom the disabled parking bay was reserved has now moved away from Mayfield Road, so the bay is no longer required. It would certainly help ease parking in this road for this place to become available for non blue-badge users.
Regarding item number 32: disabled parking bay DB383, outside 9 Park Avenue North, [REDACTED]. I can confirm that our [REDACTED] at number 9, who required the bay, moved out of the area in December 2025. As far as I'm aware no other neighbours require this bay and we
[REDACTED], so I don't agree with the removal.
I support the removal of this bay due to the fact it appears to be unused and therefore no longer required. Parking is at a premium on Palace Road, so any additional parking space is greatly appreciated.
Re:2026-T06 item no 44 30 rusper rd - disabled bay removal
The bay is not needed - resident passed away many years ago and hse was sold years ago. Surprised its taken so long as we have requested the removal many times I support this decision to removal the DB as parking is very restricted on this road at time and that bay is never used. This would make a big difference
I am in favour of the removal of the disabled parking bay outside 81 Mayfield Road (Reference AA on the map associated with this public consultation). The disabled resident who previously used this bay no longer lives at the address and hence removing it would increase the parking capacity on the
I very much support removing the disabled persons parking bay on Eastern Road. It is rarely used and you have removed so many of our parking spaces over the last couple of years it would be good to get one back.
We understand the disabled bay in our street assigned to the former resident of no.71 Lothair Road South is no longer required. 71 Lothair Road South has been vacant since approximately October 2025, and the house has been on the market for more than six months. The disabled bay is also not
I support the removal of the disabled bay on Windermere Road because it is no longer used by disabled people and we need the parking spaces, Thanks.
I have no objection to the removal of the unused disabled parking bay.
I am a blue badge holder and there used to be a disabled bay [REDACTED]. I often had no where to park and would have to park in the next street which sometimes would be extremely difficult. Since having the bays put on my road it has become a lot easier for me especially with shopping as I would not have to far to walk. It is a small road which spaces are also being taken from customers
The reasons for my request are as follows: When consultation was made, I was under the impression that my [REDACTED] at 29 Sperling Road [REDACTED] had requested it as the occupant was ill with cancer and in a wheelchair, who has unfortunately passed away in February this year. It has rarely been used. It is extremely inconvenient for me, at 31 Sperling Road [REDACTED] as I look after my [REDACTED] 14 months and often have to park either opposite the road or further down the road and carry her to my house which is a safety issue for both of us.
This disabled bay is no longer needed as the person it was intended for has moved away
The disable parking bay should be available for other residents to use. The bay hasn't used in nearly a year.

2026-t06

Number 90 Effingham road is currently never used. For the purposes for disabled parking. The resident does not have a car any longer and the space remains vacant constantly. Removing it

I support the removal

2026-T06

I support the removal of the disabled parking bay outside 93 Broadwater Road, [REDACTED].

This disabled bay is directly [REDACTED]. Would very much appreciate the convenience of being able to park directly out side out home.

Ref: 2026-T06

I am absolutely perplexed as to why my dedicated bay DB531 is on your list. My car EO73YCH which is registered to the bay is parked there every day. It's not even that long ago that I was given

The DPB outside 71 Lothair Road South needs removing. The residents have moved to another house. And they have also sold the car that the space had the number plate for.

Objection to Proposed Removal of Disabled Persons' Parking Bay - Reference 2026-T06

Dear Parking Projects Team,

I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposed removal of the Disabled Persons' Parking Bay located in our street, as referenced in your recent communication (2026-T06). This bay has been an invaluable support to both myself, a Blue Badge holder, and my elderly [REDACTED], who has accessibility needs.

Importance of the Disabled Bay

The presence of this disabled parking bay has significantly enhanced our quality of life by providing convenient and necessary access to our home. It ensures that we can safely and easily reach our residence, especially on event days when parking is heavily congested due to the lack of enforced restrictions. Non-residents often occupy available spaces, leaving limited options for those with genuine accessibility needs.

Promoting Inclusivity and Companionship

Furthermore, the bay has fostered a sense of companionship and inclusivity within our community. It has encouraged visits from friends and [REDACTED] members who also have mobility challenges, creating a supportive network that is beneficial for everyone involved. This space is not solely for our use but serves other registered disabled residents as well, further underscoring its importance.

A Request for Consideration

Instead of removing this essential facility, I kindly urge the Council to consider ways to make it more inclusive, such as ensuring it is always available for those with disabilities. Perhaps implementing clearer signage or stricter enforcement could address the concerns about misuse, without depriving

Please remove the parking bay situated outside 30 Seymour Avenue. It's never used, and takes up valuable parking space in a busy road.

Why do you need to consult on a bay that is allocated to a car that no longer has a disabled badge? You did not consult before.... Another waste of money The other unallocated disabled bay never gets used either....so why is this not under review?
Regarding the disabled bay in [REDACTED]. I bought the house that belonged to the previous user of the disabled parking bay, and I have constant view of the bay. I can confirm that not a single disabled person has used this bay, not even once, since mid-December, when the bay's prior user moved out. The parking bay is on a street without a CPZ where parking is often difficult and lacking, We have 3 disabled bays outside our block, 2 are in use, me being one of them that has one, but 1 hasn't been in use for over a year that can possibly be removed if no one else requires it. My blue badge has just been renewed for another 3 years so I 100% still need my bay as does the other lady who has the second bay.
Regarding Item 16 Muswell Hill Place. To my knowledge the bay is in use. In addition there are numerous disabled neighbours who are blue badge holders. Therefore rather than removing it, perhaps it could be changed to a general disabled bay for residents? Thanks
Despite [REDACTED]. As far as I know it is not used by anyone.
Item no. 25 opposite 3 is no longer in use. This is db110 no one has parked in this bay for 18 months. I support its removal.
You are proposing the removal of disabled bay outside number 23 Thorold Rd [REDACTED]. On behalf of Mrs Stas EliA who lives at number 21, who is elderly and has a blue badge and who uses that car parking space, I wish to lodge an objection to its removal on both her behalf and on Thank you for your Statutory Consultation letter regarding the subject proposals.
I refer specifically to Item 20 on the List of Disabled Bay Locations which is at 67, Collingwood Road,[REDACTED]. [REDACTED],Collingwood Avenue, [REDACTED] and strongly request that this Disabled Bay not be removed. I am 82 years of age and am registered disabled. I walk with difficulty. This Disabled Bay is used full-time. I have a Blue Badge No: M78M8L 0 0344X0726 as well as a virtual one. Finally, in addition to requesting that this Disabled Bay not be removed, I would ask if it possible to have it dedicated for my use only.
I have no objection to disabled bay being removed
I don't understand why the bay outside 50 Windermere Road is not on the list as the owner died a I am writing with reference to the two very large disabled parking bays in Montague Road.
I would support the removal of at least one, if not both bays. I have already written to the council when they appeared. There is limited parking in this cul de sac and the two bays are very large, effectively taking up 3 car parking spaces and has led to extra strain on the limited parking in the road.
There are neighbours with young [REDACTED] in buggies who have been unable to park in the With regards to the Dedicated Disabled Bay outside my Late [REDACTED]'s property at 17 Umfreville Road [REDACTED].
That parking space should be used by all residents as there's no car there.

I support the removal of the disabled parking bay item 20 outside 67 Collingwood Ave [REDACTED]. In my experience I have not seen it used by a registered blue badge vehicle. I would reconsider my position If the Council were to receive objections from disabled people with a reasonable case for its

Thank you for inviting us to respond to the proposal.

My [REDACTED] and I fully support the proposal for the removal of the Disabled Bay in our street. The recent extension of double yellow lines around street corners, and the increasing number of residents who build driveways in front of their houses is greatly reducing the number of parking bays available to residents without driveways. It is often difficult to park close to our house (a problem when carrying luggage, groceries}, and the problem is particularly acute in early evenings when many bays are taken up by customers of the local Clissold Arms on Fortis Green.

Removal of the Disabled Bay should alleviate the problem.

As a resident of Mayfield Road close to the now disused Disabled Persons' Parking Bay, I am writing in favour of its removal. The person for whom it was created moved a considerable time ago and no new application has been made and the bay is now redundant. In a busy parking street because of Stationers Park and the Stationers Park Community Centre, it would help parking in the street to remove this DPB.

